

REMARKS

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication that claims 26, 28, 32 and 38 contain allowable subject matter. Accordingly, claims 24-25 and 36-37 have been canceled and claims 26, 28, 32, and 38 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 27, 34 and 35 have been amended to depend from claim 26, claim 31 has been amended to depend from claim 27, and claim 43 has been amended to depend from claim 38. In addition, numerous corrections to overcome the claim objections have been made in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions made in the Office Action dated February 14, 2008.

The specification has been amended to correct spelling and typographical errors as follows:

- On page 3, line 18, "he" was corrected to "the";
- On page 7, line 24, "practicing" is correctly spelled;
- On page 10, line 29 and page 11, line 8, "NON" was corrected to "NO";
- On page 11, line 30, "I" was deleted;
- On page 13, line 17, "synthesizing" is correctly spelled; and
- On page 13, line 22, "recognized" is correctly spelled.

The Drawings have been amended so that they do not include foreign language words and to correct spelling.

The Abstract has been amended to comply with the proper format for an abstract of the disclosure.

No new matter has been added to the application.

A. The Rejections

Claims 24, 25, 27, 35-37 and 43 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) because the invention is allegedly described in US Patent 6,954,657 to Bork et al.

Claim 34 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Bork in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,432,653 to Ting-Ching. The Examiner asserts that Bork lacks the teaching of a diary watch, however, that Ting-Ching teaches a portable electronic object that is a diary watch in column 1, line 66 through column 2, line 8.

B. Applicants' Arguments


Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 24-25 and 36-37 have been canceled. Claims 27, 34 and 35 have been amended to depend from claim 26, which the Examiner has indicated includes allowable subject matter. Finally, claim 43 has been amended to depend from claim 38, which the Examiner has indicated includes allowable subject matter.

CONCLUSION

In view of the present amendment, Applicant respectfully asserts that claims 26-35 and 38-43 are in condition for allowance and a prompt notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

The below-signed attorney for applicant welcomes any questions.

Respectfully submitted,
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C.



Joerg-Uwe Szimpl
Registration No. 31,799

GRIFFIN & SZIPL, P.C.
Suite PH-1 Facsimile:
2300 Ninth Street, South
Arlington, VA 22204

Telephone: (703) 979-5700
(703) 979-7429
Email: gands@szipl.com
Customer No.: 24203